IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

KARLA CAMPOS and	§	
MOOTASSEM EL-HAJJ	§	
Plaintiffs	§	
	§	
v	§	NO. H04-3892
	§	
YELLOW, INC.	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant	§	

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, DISSOLUTION OF THE CLASS AND APPROVAL OF ATTORNEYS' FEES

Plaintiffs, Karla Campos, et al., move for an order (i) approving the settlement agreement, (ii) dissolving the class, and (iii) approval of attorneys' fees. In support of this motion, the Plaintiffs show the following:

I. Background

On October 6, 2004 Karla Campos and Mootassem El-Haji ("Plaintiffs") brought this action against Yellow, Inc. ("Defendant") for failing to pay them overtime as required by the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA".). 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. They identified other similarly situated employees that have been victimized by Defendant's straight time for overtime payment scheme and moved this Court for an order authorizing the issuance of a court approved notice informing them of the pendency of this action and their right to participate therein. On February 13, 2006 Court granted Plaintiff's motion for class notice. In a hearing on February 24, 2006 the Court approved the notice to be mailed to potential class members. On or about May 30, 2006 notice to potential class members was mailed out. On September 28, 2006 notice of consents which were received from potential class members were filed with the Court. On April 4, 2007

the parties attended mediation and this matter was settled in regards to the active class members; however the issue of attorney's fees was not resolved.

Plaintiffs move this Court to approve their settlement, dismiss non-participating class members' claims and approve of counsels' attorney's fees.

II. Approval of Settlement Agreement

The parties have reached a resolution of this matter except for attorneys' fees for Plaintiffs' counsel. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and because of the nature of the Plaintiffs' claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), the Settlement Agreement requires Court approval in order to become effective. More specifically, Plaintiffs' release of their FLSA claims is not legally effective unless (i) the Court approves the Settlement Agreement, or (2) the settlement is supervised by the United States Department of Labor. The following Plaintiffs, with advice of counsel, agree that payment under the terms of the Settlement Agreement constitutes full and fair compensation for their claims for unpaid overtime and alleged entitlement to liquidated damages: Karla Campos, Mootassem El-Haji, Stephanie Bass, Regina Fisher, Svetoslav Kolev and Antonia Samuel. A true and correct copy of the Settlement Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

III. Dissolution of Class

Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' counsel move for the dissolution of the class. Three class members have withdrawn from the class: Vivian Turner, Matthew Valentin and Filberto Maldonado. The following class members have failed to assist counsel in development of their claims, have stopped communications with counsel and did not attend the mediation and thus there claims should be dismissed:

Anita Anderson, Sonia Aparicio, Douglas Carle, Zaira Gonzalez, Ry'shon Harris, Jesse Mendez, Taofeek Olonode Daniel Rivera, Julian Scruggs, Julia Stanley, Ruth Tate, Reginald Thomas, Mary Tyson, Ruth Nanette Williams, Pamela Young, and Carlos Zavala.

The non-responsive class members have failed to respond to repeated attempts to contact them. On June 12, 2006 and June 20, 2006 counsel mailed letters to all class members requesting additional information and documents supporting their claims. Exhibits B and C. The above individuals did not respond to the letter. On February 9, 2007 counsel sent a letter requesting attendance at one of two meetings on March 1, 2007. Exhibit D. The above individuals failed to show up at either of the meetings. This meeting was to discuss documents produced by Defendant and prepare the claims. When these class members did not attend either meeting counsel mailed a final letter on March 2, 2007 requesting that they contact him if they wanted to continue with their claim and that if not counsel would inform the Court that they did not want to proceed with their claim. Exhibit E. For these reasons the remaining class members and class counsel requests that the Court dismiss the above class members' claims.

IV. Attorneys' Fees

Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of attorney's fees and costs in this action pursuant to Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. The 5th Circuit of Appeals established 12 factors that a court must consider in deciding the amount of attorney's fees to award a prevailing

plaintiff. *Johnson v. Georgia Highway Express, Inc.* 488 F. 2d 714 (5th Cir.1974). The factors are: 1) the time and labor required, 2) the novelty and difficulty of the questions, 3) the skill requisite to perform legal services properly, 4) the preclusion of other employment by the attorney due to acceptance of the case, 5) the customary fee, 6) whether the fee is fixed or contingent, 7) time limitations imposed by the client or the circumstances, 8) the amount involved and the results obtained, 9) the experience, reputation, and ability of the attorneys, 10) the "undesirability" of the case, 11) the nature and length of the professional relationship with the client, and 12) awards in similar cases. *Johnson*, 488 F. 2d at 717-719.

Plaintiffs' counsels spent considerable time on this matter including the following: meetings with class members, drafting the complaint, drafting motion for class notice, several court appearances, reviewing Defendant's employee records, drafting notices to potential class members, correspondence with class members, reviewing Defendant's payroll documents, written discovery, attending deposition, and attending mediation. Ninety-one and No/100 (91.00) hours of attorney time and 51 hours of paralegal time have been devoted to this Federal Court matter, court costs of Two Hundred Seventy-three and 94/100 Dollars (\$273.94) and expenses of Two Thousand Thirty-eight and 22/100 Dollars (\$2,038.22) were incurred.

The case required substantial attorney time for a number of reasons including the potential number of class members and the fact that Defendant changed counsel twice. Plaintiff's counsel sent out over 400 letters to prospective class members and at one point represented 28 class members.

Plaintiff respectfully submits that the total fee for attorneys' time calculated on this basis related to this Federal Court matter is Twenty-two Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty and No/100 Dollars (\$22,750.00) and the total fee for paralegal time is Five Thousand One Hundred and

No/100 Dollars (\$5,100.00). The itemized time is attached hereto as Exhibit F and incorporated herein by reference; see also the Affidavit of Michael J. Hengst attached hereto as Exhibit G. Therefore, the attorneys for the Plaintiffs request that a total fee for attorney and paralegal time in the amount of Twenty-seven Thousand Eight Hundred Fifty and No/100 Dollars (\$27,850.00), Two Hundred Seventy-three and 94/100 Dollars (\$273.94) in court costs, and Two Thousand Thirty-eight and 22/100 Dollars (\$2,038.22) in expenses be approved.

V. Conclusion

For these reasons, and so this matter can be finally resolved, the Plaintiffs request that the Court issue an order approving the Settlement Agreement, dissolving the class and approving class counsel's attorneys' fees.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/Michael J. Hengst
Michael J. Hengst
Texas Bar No. 00784253
A.A. (Trey) Henderson III
Texas Bar No. 00784246
9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150
Houston, Texas 77036
(713) 266-1380
(713) 266-2432 Facsimile
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

OF COUNSEL: Hengst & Henderson A Partnership of Professional Corporations 9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150 Houston, Texas 77036 (713) 266-1380 (713) 266-2432 Facsimile

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 1, 2007, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF's system for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to Defendant's attorney, Thomas Sankey, an ECF registrant.

/s/ Michael J. Hengst
Michael J. Hengst

Exhibit A

CIVIL ACTION NO. H-04-3892

IN THE UNITED STATES

§

MOOTASSEM	§ §	DISTRICT COURT
v.	<i>ග</i> ග ග ග ග ග ග	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
YELLOW, INC.	9	HOUSTON DIVISION
		MENT AGREEMENT
The parties hereto agree to settle all of	, t h is claims and	Agreement d controversies between them, asserted or assertable
in this case except		
,		
		shall receive the sum of 1202 U.S. hich sum will be paid by the following parties in the
Karta Camy El-Haji Mootass Svefoslav Koki Stephanie Basi (b) Regina Fisi Atona Sami	v S cher	Amount \$ 1500 \times \$ 100 \times \$
following terms:		1 annual market and the second
1)		ipal amount;
2)	% in	terest pre-maturity and% post-
maturity;		

KARLA CAMPOS AND EL-HAJJ

		3)	note date;	maturity date;
		4)	payment terms; and,	
		5)	Secured by	
			which shall be evidenced by	
3.	The abo	ove-sty	vled and numbered case shall be resolved by:	
	(a) <u>eac</u>		agreed order of dismissal with prejudice	with costs taxed to
	(b)	•	reed judgment providing as follows:	
	(c)	Any a	greed judgment shall be signed by the trial judge, b	out may not be abstracted or
	recorde	ed or ar	ny collection efforts made upon same so long as the fo	ollowing conditions are kept:
4.	The pa	rties ag	gree to release, discharge, and forever hold the other	r harmless from any and all
claims,	demand	ds or su	uits, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, liquidate	ed or unliquidated whether or
not asse	erted in	the abo	ove case, as of this date, arising from or related to the e	vents and transactions which
are the	subject	matte	r of this case, except for the following.	
-	1 V.	<u>`</u> \ <u> </u>	agreement shall be	scarre UNI 4
	<u>Dio</u>	P	Mits and forms	tor any
	sign	<u>ato</u>	by not signing this	s agricement
	2 %	N_{i}	SON (C, Z, T,) Agral 11	, 2007)
			Y	
				This
mutual	release	runs to	the benefit of all attorneys, agents, employees, officers	s, directors, shareholders, and
partne	rs of	the	parties - except:	

"Party" as used in this release includes all named parties to this case, as well as all wembers of the certified, as all related entities of the parties, except
5 Policinate de la contraction
5. Each signatory hereto warrants and represents:
(a) he or she has authority to bind the parties for whom that signatory acts.
(b) the claims, suits, rights and/or interests which are the subject matter hereto are owned by
the party asserting same, have not been assigned, transferred or sold and are free of encumbrances.
6. Tow Santay has retained the original of this Agreement and shall deliver drafts of any further settlement documents to the other parties by 428707. The
deliver drafts of any further settlement documents to the other parties by 4 2 3 0 . The
parties agree to cooperate with each other in the drafting and execution of such additional documents as
are reasonably requested or required to implement the terms and spirit of this agreement.
7. If one or more disputes arise with regard to the interpretation and/or performance of this agreement
or any of its provisions, the parties agree to attempt to resolve same by phone conference with Trey
Bergman, the mediator who facilitated this settlement. If the parties cannot resolve their differences by
phone conference, then each agrees to schedule one day of Mediation with the mediator, Trey Bergman
within thirty (30) days to resolve the disputes and to share the costs of same equally. If a party refuses to
mediate, then that party may not recover attorney's fees or costs in any litigation brought to construe or
enforce this agreement. Otherwise, if mediation is unsuccessful, then the prevailing party or parties shall
be entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees and expenses, including the cost of the unsuccessful
mediation.
8. Other terms of this settlement are: <u>Subject</u> to the Court
de-certifiens the class and/or approxima this
settlement.
rollow Inc. shall naw an additional
\$7,2000 as legal Frees and expenses to.
Herast Henderson son approval of this softlem
9. This agreement is made and performable in Harris County, Texas and shall be
construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas.

	e. Each party hereto has been advised by Trey Bergman,
the Mediator that the Mediator is not the attor	rney for any party and that each party should have this
agreement reviewed by that party's attorney price	or to executing same.
Signed this Handle day of Ap	, 2007.
El-Haji Mootassem Svetoslav Kolev	DEFENDANT(S) YOUNG BY: MIND OWNE HE DOUMANI
Approved Attorney for Plaintiff(s):	Approved Attorney for Defendant(s); Thomas Santey
Other Parties:	Other Parties:
Approved Attorney for: Plaint? For Machael Hengst	Approved Attorney for:
Approved Attorney for Plaintiff(s): Approved Attorney for: Plaintiff(s)	Approved Attorney for Defendant(s); Thomas Santey Other Parties:

Each signatory to this settlement has entered into same freely and without duress after having

10.

Exhibit B

A Partnership of Professional Corporations 9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150 Houston, Texas 77036 (713) 266-1380 /FAX (713) 266-2432 www.houstonattorneys.com

June 12, 2006

Jillian Scruggs 2121 Chew Street Houston, Texas 77020

RE: Cause No. H04-3892; Karla Campos and El-Hajj Mootassem vs. Yellow, Inc.; In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division

Dear Jillian:

Thank you for joining the overtime lawsuit against Yellow, Inc. Enclosed you will find a Client Information form. Please complete the enclosed form and return it to us as soon as possible. So that we can effectively represent you we also need the following information:

- 1. Any paycheck stubs you have;
- 2. Any payroll records indicating the hours you worked.
- 3. Any other information that might be useful in documenting the claiming unpaid wages.

Please mail the completed form and any documents us in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelop as soon as possible. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact us at 713-266-1380 or via email at michael@hengstandhenderson.com.

Sincerely.

Hengst & Henderson

By:

Michael J. Hengst, P.C.

muchiel & dery

A Partnership of Professional Corporations 9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150 Houston, Texas 77036 (713) 266-1380 /FAX (713) 266-2432 www.houstonattorneys.com

CLIENT INFORMATION SHEET

RE: Cause No. H04-3892; Karla Campos and El-Hajj Mootassem vs. Yellow, Inc.; In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division

Date:/			
Name:			
Address:			
City:	State:	Zip:	
Telephone: Home ()		Work ()	
Employer:			
Work Address:			
City:	State:	Zip:	
Social Security Number:	_ -	Email:	
Driver's License No:		Date of Rirth:	

Exhibit C

A Partnership of Professional Corporations 9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150 Houston, Texas 77036 (713) 266-1380 /FAX (713) 266-2432 www.houstonattorneys.com

June 20, 2006

Ruth Williams 2046 Feather Ridge Missouri City, Texas 77489

RE: Cause No. H04-3892; Karla Campos and El-Hajj Mootassem vs. Yellow, Inc.; In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division

Dear Ruth:

Thank you for joining the overtime lawsuit against Yellow, Inc. Enclosed you will find a Client Information form. Please complete the enclosed form and return it to us as soon as possible. So that we can effectively represent you we also need the following information:

- 1. Any paycheck stubs you have;
- 2. Any payroll records indicating the hours you worked.
- 3. Any other information that might be useful in documenting the claiming unpaid wages.

Please mail the completed form and any documents us in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelop as soon as possible. Should you have any questions, feel free to contact us at 713-266-1380 or via email at michael@hengstandhenderson.com.

Sincerely.

Hengst & Henderson

By: Michael J. Hengst, P.C.

A Partnership of Professional Corporations 9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150 Houston, Texas 77036 (713) 266-1380 /FAX (713) 266-2432 www.houstonattorneys.com

CLIENT INFORMATION SHEET

RE: Cause No. H04-3892; Karla Campos and El-Hajj Mootassem vs. Yellow, Inc.; In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division

Date:/			
Name:			
Address:			
City:	State:	Zip:	
Telephone: Home ()		Work ()	
Employer:			
Work Address:			
City:	State:	Zip:	
Social Security Number:	_ -	Email:	
Driver's License No:		Date of Rirth:	

Exhibit D

HENGST & HENDERSON

A Partnership of Professional Corporations Attorneys at Law 9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150 Houston, TX 77036 TEL: (713) 266-1380 FAX (713) 266-2432 www.houstonattorneys.com

February 9, 2007

STEPHANIE L BASS 4011 BROWNSTONE HOUSTON, TX. 77053

RE: Cause No. H04-3892; Karla Campos and El-Hajj Mootassem vs. Yellow, Inc.; In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division

Dear STEPHANIE L,

I am writing to provide you with an update about the lawsuit against Yellow, Inc. We have received the payroll records from Yellow and are currently processing the information. Also, the Judge has ordered that everyone attend mediation in the very near future. Mediation is a frequently used process to settle lawsuit.

It is extremely important that we meet with you to review the information provided by Yellow and to discuss mediation. Therefore, we have schedule a meeting for everyone to attend. In an effort to accommodate everyone we have scheduled two alternative dates. The meetings will be on March 1, 2007 at 10:00 a.m. or 6:00 p.m. You must attend one of these two meetings.

If you have any question please call me at 713-266-1380 ext. 108 or Paige Henderson at ext. 103.

Sincerely.

Hengst & Henderson

By:

Michael J. Hengst, P.C.

Muhail & Sery

Exhibit E

HENGST & HENDERSON

A Partnership of Professional Corporations
Attorneys at Law
9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150
Houston, TX 77036
TEL: (713) 266-1380 FAX (713) 266-2432
www.houstonattorneys.com

March 2, 2007

RE: Cause No. H04-3892; Karla Campos and El-Hajj Mootassem vs. Yellow, Inc.; In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division

Dear

I am writing about your failure to attend one of the two meetings yesterday regarding the above referenced lawsuit. On February 9, 2007 I wrote to inform you of the meeting and that your attendance was required. Your failure to attend the meeting indicates to me that you do not wish to continue your involvement in this lawsuit. If you wish to continue with your claim in this lawsuit you must contact me by March 12, 2007 at 5:00 p.m.. If I do not hear from you by then I will notify the Court of your desire not to proceed with your claim.

I can be reached at 713-266-1380 ext. 108 or you can call Paige Henderson at ext. 103.

Sincerely.

Hengst & Henderson

By:

Michael J. Hengst, P.C.

muhuil & Jerry

Exhibit F

A Partnership of Professional Corporations 9800 Centre Parkway, Suite 150 Houston, Texas 77036 (713) 266-1380 /FAX (713) 266-2432 www.houstonattorneys.com

Date	Attorney	Description	Time	A	mount
9/3/2004	AAH	Initial Meeting with Clients	1	\$	250.00
9/3/2004	MJH	Initial Meeting with Clients	1	\$	250.00
9/25/2004	AAH	Initial Research	2	\$	500.00
10/1/2004	MJH	Drafting Lawsuit	3	\$	750.00
10/5/2004	MJH	Drafting Lawsuit	2	\$	500.00
		Make arrangements to have lawsuit filed and			
10/6/2004	MJH	served	0.5	\$	125.00
11/23/2004	MJH	Received and Reviewed Answer	0.5	\$	125.00
		Draft JDCMP; Fax JDCMP to Opposing			
1/13/2005	MJH	Counsel.	1.5	\$	375.00
		Telephone conference with Opposing Counsel;			
1/18/2005	MJH	Filed JDCMP.	0.5	\$	125.00
		R&R Order Canceling Scheduling Conference			
1/26/2005	MJH	& Scheduling Order	0.5	\$	125.00
8/1/2005	MJH	Research issue of notice to class	4	\$	1,000.00
8/2/2005	MJH	Research and Drafting Motion for Class Notice	3	\$	750.00
		Meeting with El-Hajj; Drafting Motion for Class			
8/9/2005	MJH	Notice	6	\$	1,500.00
8/11/2005	MJH	Meeting with Campos	0.5	\$	125.00
8/12/2005	MJH	Filed Motion for Class Notice	0.5	\$	125.00
		Telephone Conference with New Opposing			
10/27/2005	MJH	Counsel	0.25	\$	62.50
		Received and reviewed Motion to Substitute			
11/7/2005	MJH	Counsel and Motion for Leave to File Response	1.5	\$	375.00
11/15/2005	MJH	Received and Reviewed Order	0.25	\$	62.50
		Received and Reviewed Defendant's Response			
12/5/2005	MJH	to Motion for Notice	1	\$	250.00
		Received and reviewed Amended Scheduling			
12/12/2005	MJH	Order	0.25	\$	62.50
12/14/2005	MJH	Received and Reviewed Order Granting Notice.	1	\$	250.00
		Consultation with Opposing Counsel; Drafted			
12/15/205	MJH	and filed ADR Status Report	1	\$	250.00
		Received and Reviewed fax from Opposing			
12/15/2005	MJH	Counsel.	0.5	\$	125.00
2/23/2005	MJH	Prepare for Scheduling Conference.	1	\$	250.00
2/24/2006	MJH	Attended Scheduling Conference.	1	\$	250.00
5/9/2006	MJH	Telephone Conference with Opposing Counsel.	0.25	\$	62.50
5/15/2006	MJH	Drafting and emailed proposed Notice to Class.	2	\$	500.00
		Received and Reviewed Email from Opposing			
5/18/2006	MJH	Counsel, Email to Opposing Counsel.	0.5	\$	125.00

- 100 1000 c		5		4	•=====
5/23/2006	MJH	Prepare for Hearing	1	\$	250.00
5/26/2006	MJH	Attend Hearing	1	\$	250.00
6/20/2006	MJH	Letters to Client	1	\$	250.00
7/7/2006	MJH	Telephone Conference with Campos	0.25	\$	62.50
8/1/2006	MJH	Reviewed Consents Received	1	\$	250.00
8/14/2006	MJH	Reviewed File	0.25	\$	62.50
		Telephone Conference with Opposing Counsel;			
		Drafted and Filed Motion regarding Notice of			
9/28/2006	MJH	Consents.	1.25	\$	312.50
<i>312012000</i>	141311	Received and reviewed Notice of Appearance of	1.23	Ψ	312.30
10/2/2006	MJH	Counsel.	0.25	\$	62.50
11/15/2006	MJH		2	\$	500.00
		Drafting Discovery Requests.		\$ \$	
11/17/206	MJH	Finalized and served Discovery Requests.	1	Э	250.00
		Telephone conference with Opposing Counsel			
10/7/000		regarding Mediation; Drafted proposed ADR		_	2== 00
12/5/2006	MJH	Status Report; email to Opposing Counsel.	1.5	\$	375.00
12/7/2006	MJH	Filed ADR Status Report	0.5	\$	125.00
12/19/2006	MJH	Received and Reviewed Discovery Responses.	1	\$	250.00
		Telephone Conference with Opposing Counsel			
12/22/2006	MJH	regarding discovery	0.25	\$	62.50
12/26/2006	MJH	Received and reviewed Mediation Order.	0.25	\$	62.50
		Received and reviewed discovery documents			
1/8/2007	MJH	from Opposing Counsel	1	\$	250.00
		Received and reviewed email from Opposing			
1/9/2007	MJH	Counsel.	0.25	\$	62.50
1/11/2007	MJH	Drafted and filed Expert Designation	1.5	\$	375.00
1, 11, 200,	111011	Received and reviewed Deposition Notices;	1.0	Ψ	272.00
1/25/2007	MJH	Letter to Clients regarding Depositions.	1	\$	250.00
1/23/2007	141311	Telephone conference with Opposing Counsel	1	Ψ	230.00
2/5/2007	MJH	regarding Deposition location.	0.25	\$	62.50
2/9/2007	MJH	Letter to Clients.		\$	250.00
			1	Ф	
2/16/2007	MJH	Telephone conference with Campos.	0.25	\$	62.50
0/4/2/2005		Meeting with Stephanie Bass; attend Deposition	4 =	Φ.	255.00
2/16/2007	MJH	of Stephanie Bass.	1.5	\$	375.00
2/22/2007	MJH	Received and reviewed fax from Turner.	0.25	\$	62.50
3/1/2007	MJH	Meeting with Clients.	2.5	\$	625.00
3/1/2007	AAH	Meeting with Clients	2.5	\$	625.00
		Received and reviewed letter from Opposing			
		Counsel; telephone conference with Mediator's			
3/12/2007	MJH	office.	0.5	\$	125.00
3/14/2007	MJH	Fax to Mediator.	0.5	\$	125.00
3/15/2007	MJH	Received and reviewed fax from Mediator.	0.25	\$	62.50
3/21/2007	MJH	Letter to Clients regarding Mediation.	1	\$	250.00
3/23/2007	MJH	Telephone conference with Campos.	0.25	\$	62.50
		Reviewed filed in preparation for Mediation;	-	+	
3/29/2007	MJH	telephone conferences with various Clients.	4	\$	1,000.00
5/2//2001	111011	terephone conferences with various enems.	•	Ψ	1,000.00

4/3/2007 4/3/2007	MJH AAH	Prepare for Mediation Prepare for Mediation	2 2	\$ \$	500.00 500.00
4/4/2007	AAH, MJH	Attend Mediation	10	\$2,	,500
		Total Attorneys' Fees:	91.00	\$2	22,750.00
Date	Paralegal	Description	Time	A	mount
5/30/2006	PH	Worked on Potential Class Member file from Opposing Counsel Worked on Potential Class Member file from	5	\$	500.00
5/31/2006	PH	Opposing Counsel	5.5	\$	550.00
6/1/2006	PH	Mailed Notice to Potential Class Members Reviewed Returned Letters; Researched Addresses, Updated Potential Class Member	8	\$	800.00
6/15/2006	PH	List Reviewed Potential Class Member list; reviewed returned letters; researched addresses, updated	3.5	\$	350.00
7/26/2006	PH	Potential Class Member List Reviewed Potential Class Member list; reviewed returned letters; researched addresses, updated	4.5	\$	450.00
8/20/2006	PH	Potential Class Member List Reviewed Discovery Responses; indexed	5	\$	500.00
12/19/2006	PH	documents	2.5	\$	250.00
12/22/2006	PH	Worked on Discovery Response Documents	3	\$	300.00
12/27/2006	PH	Worked on Discovery Response Documents	2.5	\$	250.00
1/8/2007	PH	Worked on Discovery Response Documents	2.5	\$	250.00
2/16/2007	PH	Worked on Discovery Response Documents	3.5	\$	350.00
2/28/2007	PH	Worked on Discovery Response Documents	5.5	\$	550.00
		Total Paralegal Fees:	51.0	\$	5,100.00
		Court Costs:			
		Filing Fee		\$	150.00
		Service Fees		\$	123.94
		Total Court Costs:		\$	273.94
		Expenses:			
		Travel/Parking		\$	66.64
		Courier Fees		\$	36.58
		Postage		\$	435.00
		Mediation Fee		\$	1,500.00
		Total Expenses:		\$	2,038.22

Exhibit G

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

KARLA CAMPOS and	§
MOOTASSEM EL-HAJJ	§
Plaintiffs	§
	§
v	§ NO. H04-3892
	§
YELLOW, INC.	§ JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant	§

SWORN DECLARATION OF MICHAEL J. HENGST

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, Michael J. Hengst declares as follows:

- 1. "My name is Michael J. Hengst. I am capable of making this affidavit. The facts stated in this affidavit are within my personal knowledge and are true and correct.
 - 2. A.A. (Trey) Henderson and I are attorneys licensed to practice in the State of Texas.
- 3. Karla Campos and Mootassem El-Hajj retained A.A. (Trey) Henderson III and me to represent them in this lawsuit in September 2004.
- 4. A.A. (Trey) Henderson III and I have been practicing law for over fourteen years and have extensive experience in employment law.
 - 5. The fee is contingent because Plaintiffs could not afford an hourly fee.
- 6. The lawsuit started out with two Plaintiffs and then was certified as a collective action. The firm sent out over 400 letters to prospective class members. At one point, the firm represented 28 class members. The case was novel and required more time than an average case due to the number of potential class members, the fact that there were management and non-management level employees and therefore two distinctly different legal arguments, the damages were different for each class member, and the fact that the Defendant changed counsel three times.
- 7. The case required immediate action by our firm due to the rolling statute of limitations.

- 8. The case had a certain undesirability due to the number of potential class members, the difficulty of locating class members and the relatively small amount due a large portion of the class members.
- 9. The firm acquired favorable settlements for 6 class members at mediation. Of the 28 clients, 3 dropped participation and 19 failed to communicate with counsel during the prosecution of the claim. I believe we would have gotten favorable settlements for the other participants had they maintained involvement in the suit.
- 10. Plaintiffs' retention of A.A. (Trey) Henderson III and me on this case precluded us from accepting other employment.
- 11. The novelty and difficulty of the questions involved in this case required that A.A. (Trey) Henderson and me to spend Ninety-one (91) hours of attorney time and Fifty-one hours of paralegal time prosecuting this cause of action. I have reviewed the itemized list of my time attached to Plaintiffs' Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement, Dissolution of the Class and Approval of Attorneys' Fees as Exhibit F and it is true and correct and incorporated herein by reference.
- 12. Trey Henderson and my normal hourly rate is Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$250.00). The hourly rate for our legal assistant is One Hundred Dollars (\$100). The total attorneys' fees are Twenty-two Thousand Seven Hundred Fifty and No/100 Dollars (\$22,750.00); total paralegal fees are Five Thousand One Hundred and No/100 Dollars (\$5,100.00); total court costs are Two Hundred Seventy-three and 94/100 Dollars (\$273.94); and the total expenses are Two Thousand Thirty-eight and 22/100 Dollars (\$2,038.22). These fees, costs and expenses were reasonable and necessary for the services performed.
- 13. The amount of hours incurred is the same for attorneys with our experience, reputation, and ability, considering the type of controversy, the results obtained and the number of, the nature and the length of our relationship with Plaintiffs.
 - 14. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct."

Executed on June 1, 2007.

Michael J. Hengst